1	Paul D. Ticen (AZ Bar # 024788)			
2	Kelley / Warner, P.L.L.C. 8283 N. Hayden Rd., #229			
	Scottsdale, Arizona 85258			
3	Tel: 480-331-9397			
4	Dir Tel: 480-636-8150 Fax: 480-907-1235			
5	Email: paul@kellywarnerlaw.com			
6	Attorney for Non-Party Subpoena Targets			
7				
8				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
10				
11	AF HOLDINGS, L.L.C., a St. Kitts and Nevis limited liability company,	Case No.: 2:12-cv-02144-PHX – GMS		
12	Nevis innited hability company,			
13	Plaintiff,	COVER SHEET FOR LODGED		
14		PROPOSED NON-PARTIES' REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO		
15	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE		
16	DAVID HARRIS,			
17	Defendant.			
	Defendant.			
18				
19	4			
20		Non-Parties' Reply to Plaintiff's Response to		
21	Order to Show Cause.			
22	K	ELLY / WARNER, PLLC		
23	By /s	/ Paul D. Ticen		
24		aul D. Ticen		
25		283 N. Hayden Rd., #229		
		cottsdale, Arizona 85258 ttorney for Non-Party Subpoena Targets		
26		Torrion rate, Suspendia rangons		
27				
28				

### Case 2:12-cv-02144-GMS Document 62 Filed 06/04/13 Page 2 of 7

Kelly / Warner, PLLC. 04 S. Mill Ave., Suite C-201 Tempe, AZ 85281 Telephone: (480) 331-9397

Paul D. Ticen (AZ Bar # 024788)
Kelley / Warner, P.L.L.C.
8283 N. Hayden Rd., #229
Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
Tel: 480-331-9397
Dir Tel: 480-636-8150
Fax: 480-907-1235
Email: paul@kellywarnerlaw.com
Attorney for Non-Party Subpoena Targets

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

AF HOLDINGS, L.L.C., a St. Kitts and Nevis limited liability company,

Case No.: 2:12-cv-02144-PHX – GMS

Plaintiff,

V.

NON-PARTIES' REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

DAVID HARRIS,

Defendant.

Non-parties, who are identified by IP Address Nos. 72.223.91.187, 68.230.120.162, 68.106.45.9, 68.2.87.48, 98.165.107.179 and 68.2.92.187 and targeted through a subpoena *duces tecum* issued in connection with this matter, hereby submit a reply to Plaintiff's response to the Court's Order to Show Cause. Plaintiff, through a series of declarations, crafts a detailed story admitting (for the first time) that the Alan Cooper who testified during the March 11, 2013 show cause hearing conducted by Judge Wright is indeed the individual who purportedly signed the assignment. However, Plaintiff story attempting to cast doubt on Mr. Cooper's "repudiation" of his involvement as Plaintiff's corporate representative, is not credible. A significant part of Plaintiff's story is based on Attorney John Steele's declaration. The same John Steele who among

Prenda Law's principals, and who Judge Wright found has an ownership interest in
Plaintiff (among other shell companies). (See Ingenuity 13, LLC v. John Doe, CD Cal
2:12-cv-08333, ECF Doc No 130 at 3:24-4:7). Mr. Steele's incredulously claims that his
involvement was limited to an offer to facilitate an introduction between Mr. Cooper and
Mark Lutz, concerning Mr. Lutz's companies. (ECF Doc No 59-4 at ¶ 9). And a
recommendation that Mr. Cooper gain exposure to the porn industry by serving as
corporate representative. (Id. at $\P$ 10). Although, the time frame of this conversation is
unclear, it's reasonable to infer that it occurred no later than 2011 based on Mr. Steele's
"understanding" that Mr. Cooper connected with Mr. Lutz and "participated in a limited
number of transactions in 2011 with Mr. Lutz's companies." (Id. at $\P$ 11). Notably
absent are Mr. Steele's claim that Mr. Cooper authorized him to use his identity and
signature in connection with anything and Mr. Steele's express denial that he or others at
his direction misappropriated Mr. Cooper's identity and forged signature on the
assignment.

Mr. Steele's declaration cannot withstand scrutiny. Mr. Steele's declaration is discredited by documents subpoenaed from GoDaddy.com, LLC in connection with the Minnesota case and Paul Hansmeier deposition testimony as Plaintiff's designated 30(b)(6) deponent. Further, a transcript of voice call recordings left by John Steele on Mr. Cooper's voice mail shortly after he (Steele) learned that Mr. Cooper hired Attorney Paul Godfread concerning his misappropriated identity, strongly supports that Mr. Steele's story is the one spawned by retaliation and vengeance.

#### GoDaddy.com, LLC records show John Steele misappropriated Mr. 1. Cooper's Identity as early as November 6, 2010.

On November 6, 2010 at 10:01 a.m., John Steele created a GoDaddy account and was assigned Shopper ID 39706942. (See GoDaddy Document for Shopper ID 39706942, attached as **Exhibit 1** hereto at pg. marked as bates number GD000001) and GD000074). Initially, Mr. Steele used his own name and the business address for Steele Hansmeier, PLLC. (Id. at pg. marked as bates number GD000074; See May 16, 2011

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

demand letter from Steele Hansmeier, PLLC, attached as Exhibit 2 hereto). However, a
mere 15 minutes later, Mr. Steele changed the customer name from himself to Alar
Cooper. (Exhibit 1 at pg. marked as bates number GD000074). And his business
address to 4532 East Villa Teresa Drive in Phoenix, where an individual by the name of
Jayme Steele was living at the time. (Id., See Medical Lien recorded by St. Joseph's
Hospital with the Maricopa County Recorder, Recording Number 20120901595
attached as Exhibit 3 hereto). This GoDaddy account was used to secure a number of
domains, and the customer history reveals little doubt that an individual by John Steele
had contact with GoDaddy customer service, including issues with the domain
www.wefightpiracy.com. (Exhibit 1 at pgs. bates numbered as GD000002-08 and
GD000011).

Significantly, Mr. Cooper's name and the Phoenix address were also used in connection with setting up an entity in the State of Minnesota entitled VPR, Inc. (Ingenuity 13, LLC v. John Doe, ECF Doc No. 93 at 30:19-31:7). Mr. Cooper who testified during the March 11th show cause hearing, including being cross-examined by Brett Gibbs' (Of Counsel to Prenda Law) lawyer, denied living at that address or ever having been to Arizona. (*Id.* at 30:23-31:7). And Mr. Cooper denied ever registering the domain "nottissues.com, which is one of the domain names acquired under the account for Shopper ID 39706942. (Id. at 31:17-20; Exhibit 1 at pg. marked as bates number GD000008).

Mr. Steele's purported limited involvement as a merely offering to make the introduction (not that he actually did) cannot survive scrutiny in light of these GoDaddy documents establishing that Mr. Steele indeed used Mr. Cooper's identity, and undoubtedly his electronic signature when accepting GoDaddy terms and conditions.

#### 2. Paul Hansmeier Testified that John Steele was Actively Involved in **Securing The Assignment with Cooper's Signature.**

Mr. Hansmeier, as Plaintiff's designated 30(b)(6) deponent, testified to a number of particularized matters. See Notice of Deposition of AF Holdings, LLC in AF

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Holdings v. Joe Navasca, ND Cal, 3:12-cv-02396, attached as **Exhibit 4** hereto). The particularized matters included circumstances surrounding the assignment, whether the Mr. Cooper employed by Mr. Steele was the individual whose signature appeared on the assignment and Plaintiff's ownership structure and operations. Id. On February 19, 2013, Mr. Hansmeier testified to the following:

- Mark Lutz, Plaintiff's purported CEO, asked Mr. Steele to arrange for a corporate representative to acknowledge the assignment agreement on behalf of Plaintiff. (Ingenuity 13 v. John Doe, ECF Doc No 71 at 122:2-11);
- John Steele did so and returned the assignment bearing Alan Cooper's signature to Plaintiff (*Id.* at 122:9-11)
- John Steele informed him (Mr. Hansmeier) that the Cooper signature was authentic and not a forgery. (*Id.* at 123:-15-18, 124:6-7).
- John Steele is the only person who knows the Alan Cooper that was the caretaker of Mr. Steele's property in Minnesota. (*Id.* at 126:18-127:2).

Plaintiff's Response paints a different picture concerning Mr. Steele's The above testimony undeniably directly contradicts Mr. Steele's involvement. declaration. Both stories cannot be true and correct. These contradictions further discredit John Steele's declaration and Plaintiff's Response.

#### 3. John Steele's Voice Mails Demonstrate That He Is Seeking Revenge Against Mr. Cooper.

While Plaintiff's paints Mr. Cooper as the individual seeking revenge, in reality, John Steele is the person retaliating against Mr. Cooper, and the one acting vengeful. After Mr. Godfread informed Mr. Steele that he (Godfread) was representing Mr. Cooper in connection with the misappropriated identity and forged signature, Mr. Steele repeatedly called Mr. Cooper's cell phone and left messages. A transcript of these voice messages was an exhibit to the March 11th show cause hearing. (Ingenuity 13, LLC v. John Doe, ECF Doc No 79-1). Mr. Cooper also testified that Mr. Steele left him voice

messages and sent him text messages. (Id., ECF Doc No. 93 at 32:5-23). Notably, Mr.
Steele threatened to bring lawsuits against Mr. Cooper, which given the timing, was
undeniably in retaliation for Mr. Godfread's call questioning the misappropriated
identity. (Id. at 3:3-17). The threatening manner behind the voice messages is easily
discerned, especially given the dynamics between a lawyer and non-lawyer. The
vengeful nature of these voice messages further discredits the veracity of Mr. Steele's
declaration.

### 4. Conclusion

Plaintiff's response lacks credibility. It relies significantly on Mr. Steele's declaration to question Mr. Cooper's purported "repudiation" of his willingness to serve as Plaintiff's corporate representative. However, Mr. Steele's declaration is discredited by documents subpoenaed from GoDaddy.com, LLC in connection with the Minnesota case, Paul Hansmeier deposition testimony as Plaintiff's designated 30(b)(6) deponent and the vengeful nature of Mr. Steele's voice messages.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 4th day of June, 2013.

## KELLY / WARNER, PLLC

By	/s/ Paul D. Ticen
·	Paul D. Ticen
	8283 N. Hayden Rd., #229
	Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
	Attorney for Non-Party Subpoena Targets

<b>CERTIFICATE</b>	<b>OF FILING</b>	<b>AND</b>	<b>SERVICE</b>

Pursuant to the Case Management/Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual ("CM/ECF Manual") of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, I hereby certify that on June 4, 2013, I electronically filed:

# NON-PARTIES' REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

with the U.S. District Court clerk's office using the ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the assigned Judge and to the following counsel of record:

Steven James Goodhue Law Offices of Steven James Goodhue 9375 East Shea Blvd., Suite 100 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 E-Mail: sjg@sjgoodlaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff

## **KELLY / WARNER, PLLC**

By /s/ Paul D. Ticen
Paul D. Ticen
8283 N. Hayden Rd., #229
Scottsdale, Arizona 85258
Attorney for Non-Party Subpoena Targets